Non–Relativistic Approximation of the Dirac Equation for Slow Fermions in Static Metric Spacetimes

A. N. Ivanov[1,*] and M. Pitschmann[1,†]

[1] *Atominstitut, Technische Universität Wien, Stadionallee 2, A-1020 Wien, Austria*

(Dated: June 11, 2014)

We analyse the non–relativistic approximation of the Dirac equation for slow fermions moving in spacetimes with a static metric, caused by the weak gravitational field of the Earth and a chameleon field, and derive the most general effective gravitational potential, induced by a static metric of spacetime. The derivation of the non–relativistic Hamilton operator of the Dirac equation is carried out by using a standard Foldy–Wouthuysen (SFW) transformation. We discuss the chameleon field as source of a torsion field and torsion–matter interactions.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Pm, 04.25.-g, 04.25.Nx, 14.80.Va

arXiv:1406.2484v1 [gr-qc] 10 Jun 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The non–relativistic quantum states of (ultra)cold neutrons in the gravitational field of the Earth above a totally reflecting mirror were found in [1–3] and measured in [4–7], while the transitions between quantum gravitational states of ultracold neutrons were measured in [8]. A possible explanation for the acceleration of our Universe at the present time is given by assuming the existence of a so-called chameleon field [9–12]. The presence of such a field would modify the gravitational potential $U_g(\vec{r}) = \vec{g}\cdot\vec{r}$ above a mirror [13] and between two mirrors [14] as

$$U(\vec{r}) = \vec{g}\cdot\vec{r} + \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}}\,\phi(\vec{r})\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\phi(\vec{r})$ is a chameleon field, β is the chameleon–matter coupling constant [9–13] and $M_{\rm Pl} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G_N} = 2.435\times 10^{27}\,{\rm eV}$ is a Planck mass, expressed in terms of the gravitational constant G_N [15]. The gravitational potential energy of (ultra)cold neutrons is related to the potential $U(\vec{r})$ via $\Phi(\vec{r}) = m\,U(\vec{r})$, where m is the neutron mass.

For the analysis of the Dirac equation in the gravitational field we employ the static metric $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu = g_{00}(x)dt^2 + g_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j = V^2dt^2 - W^2(d\vec{r})^2$, where $x^\mu = (t,\vec{r})$. The components $g_{00}(x) = V^2$ and $g_{ij}(x) = -W^2\,\delta_{ij}$ of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ are functionals of the potential $U(\vec{r})$. The dynamics of (ultra)cold neutrons moving in such a spacetime is defined by the Dirac equation

$$i\,\frac{\partial\psi(t,\vec{r})}{\partial t} = \hat{H}(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,)\,\psi(t,\vec{r})\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\vec{\nabla}$ is the gradient with respect to $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{\sigma}$ are the 2×2 Pauli matrices [16]. Using tetrad fields [17], the Hamilton operator $\hat{H}(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,)$ of the Dirac equation in coordinate representation takes the form [18]

$$\hat{H}(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,) = \gamma^0 mV - i\,\frac{V}{W}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\Big(\vec{\nabla} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}V)}{2V} + \frac{(\vec{\nabla}W)}{W}\Big)\,, \tag{3}$$

where $\gamma^\mu = (\gamma^0,\vec{\gamma}\,)$ are the Dirac matrices in Minkowski spacetime [16]. The matrix elements of the Hamilton operator are given by

$$\langle f|\hat{H}|i\rangle = \int d^3x\,\sqrt{-\det(g_{ij})}\,\psi^\dagger_f(t,\vec{r})\hat{H}\,\psi_i(t,\vec{r})\,, \tag{4}$$

where $\sqrt{-\det(g_{ij})} = W^3$. In order to deal with a Hermitian Hamilton operator it is convenient to redefine $\psi(t,\vec{r}\,) = \{-\det(g_{ij})\}^{-1/4}\Psi(t,\vec{r}\,) = W^{-3/2}\Psi(t,\vec{r}\,)$ to obtain

$$\langle f|\hat{H}|i\rangle = \int d^3x\,\Psi^\dagger_f(t,\vec{r})\hat{\mathbf{H}}\,\Psi_i(t,\vec{r})\,, \tag{5}$$

*Electronic address: ivanov@kph.tuwien.ac.at
†Electronic address: pitschmann@kph.tuwien.ac.at

which defines the Hermitian Hamilton operator $\hat{\mathbf{H}} = W^{3/2}\hat{H}W^{-3/2}$ [17]

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \gamma^0 mV - i\,\frac{V}{W}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla} - \frac{i}{2}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W}\Big)\,. \tag{6}$$

This operator agrees with the one given by Obukhov [18].

The paper is organised as follows. In section II we derive the Pauli equation as the non–relativistic limit of the Dirac equation describing the interaction of (ultra)cold neutrons with the gravitational field of the Earth and a chameleon field. In section V we discuss the obtained results.

II. STANDARD FOLDY–WOUTHUYSEN TRANSFORMATION

In order to obtain a non-relativistic Hamilton operator we follow Foldy and Wouthuysen [19] and decompose the Hamilton operator Eq. (6) into "even" and "odd" operators and delete the "odd" operators by employing unitary transformations.

The first term in Eq. (6), proportional to γ^0, is an "even" operator, whereas the second and third terms, proportional to $\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}$, are "odd" operators. For the elimination of the "odd" operators we perform the unitary transformation

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1 = e^{\,i\hat{S}_1}\hat{\mathbf{H}}e^{\,-i\hat{S}_1} = \hat{\mathbf{H}} + i[\hat{S}_1,\hat{\mathbf{H}}] + \frac{i^2}{2!}\,[\hat{S}_1,[\hat{S}_1,\hat{\mathbf{H}}]] + \dots\,, \tag{7}$$

with the operator $\hat{S}_1$ given by

$$\hat{S}_1 = -\frac{i}{2m}\,\gamma^0\Big(-\frac{1}{W}\,i\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla} - \frac{i}{2V}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W}\Big)\Big) = -\frac{1}{2mW}\,\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla} - \frac{1}{4mV}\,\vec{\gamma}\cdot\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W}\Big)\,. \tag{8}$$

For the expansion of the Hamilton operator $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1$ in Eq. (7) we neglect terms of order $\mathcal{O}(1/m^2)$. The expressions of the commutators $[\hat{S}_1,\hat{\mathbf{H}}]$ and $[\hat{S}_1,[\hat{S}_1,\hat{\mathbf{H}}]]$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} i[\hat{S}_1,\hat{\mathbf{H}}] \;=\; & \frac{i}{2W}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V) + i\,\frac{V}{W}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla} - \frac{1}{2m}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\Big)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{2m}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\Big)\cdot(\vec{\Sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,) - \frac{V}{mW^2}\,\gamma^0\Delta \\ & - \frac{1}{2mW}\,\gamma^0\Big(\Delta\,\frac{V}{W}\Big) - \frac{1}{mW}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{2}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big) + \frac{1}{4mVW}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}\,V)\cdot\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big) \\ & - \frac{i}{4mW^3}\,\gamma^0\,\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\Big((\vec{\nabla}\,V)\times(\vec{\nabla}\,W)\Big) - \frac{1}{4mV}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big)^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i^2}{2!}\,[\hat{S}_1,[\hat{S}_1,\hat{\mathbf{H}}]] \;=\; & -\frac{1}{8mW^3}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}W)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V) - \frac{i}{4mW^3}\,\gamma^0\,\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\Big((\vec{\nabla}\,W)\times(\vec{\nabla}\,V)\Big) + \frac{1}{4mW^2}\,\gamma^0\,(\vec{\nabla}V)\cdot\vec{\nabla} \\ & + \frac{1}{4m}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\Big)\cdot\vec{\nabla} - \frac{i}{4m}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\Big)\cdot(\vec{\Sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}) + \frac{V}{2mW^2}\,\gamma^0\Delta + \frac{1}{4mW}\,\gamma^0\Big(\Delta\,\frac{V}{W}\Big) \\ & + \frac{1}{2mW}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{8mV}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big)^2 + \frac{1}{8mW^2}\,\gamma^0(\Delta V)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

As result, we obtain the Hamilton operator $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_1 \;=\; & \gamma^0 mV + \frac{i}{2W}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V) - \frac{1}{4m}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\Big)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{4m}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\Big)\cdot(\vec{\Sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,) - \frac{V}{2mW^2}\,\gamma^0\Delta \\ & - \frac{1}{4mW}\,\gamma^0\Big(\Delta\,\frac{V}{W}\Big) - \frac{1}{2mW}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4mVW}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}\,V)\cdot\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big) - \frac{1}{8mV}\,\gamma^0\Big(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\Big)^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{8mW^3}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}W)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V) + \frac{1}{4mW^2}\,\gamma^0\,(\vec{\nabla}V)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{8mW^2}\,\gamma^0(\Delta V)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

After the first unitary transformation, the resulting Hamilton operator $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1$, calculated to order $1/m$, still contains an "odd" operator proportional to $\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}$. This "odd" operator we remove by employing a second unitary transformation

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_2 = e^{\,i\hat{S}_2}\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1e^{\,-i\hat{S}_2} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_1 + i[\hat{S}_2,\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1] + \frac{i^2}{2!}\,[\hat{S}_2,[\hat{S}_2,\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1]] + \dots\,, \tag{12}$$

with operator $\hat{S}_2$ given by

$$\hat{S}_2 = -\frac{i}{2m}\,\gamma^0\Big(\frac{i}{2VW}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla}V\Big) = \frac{1}{4mVW}\,\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla}V\,. \tag{13}$$

Neglecting terms of order $\mathcal{O}(1/m^2)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i[\hat{S}_2,\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1] &= -\frac{i}{2W}\,\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V) - \frac{1}{4mVW^2}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}V)^2\,,\\ \frac{i^2}{2!}\,[\hat{S}_2,[\hat{S}_2,\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1]] &= \frac{1}{8mVW^2}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}V)^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

As result, the Hamilton operator $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_2 &= \gamma^0 mV - \frac{1}{4m}\,\gamma^0\left(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{4m}\,\gamma^0\left(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\right)\cdot(\vec{\Sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,) - \frac{V}{2mW^2}\,\gamma^0\Delta - \frac{1}{4mW}\,\gamma^0\left(\Delta\,\frac{V}{W}\right)\\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2mW}\,\gamma^0\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4mVW}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}\,V)\cdot\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right) - \frac{1}{8mV}\,\gamma^0\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{8mW^3}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}W)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V)\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4mW^2}\,\gamma^0\,(\vec{\nabla}V)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{8mW^2}\,\gamma^0(\Delta V) - \frac{1}{8mVW^2}\,\gamma^0(\vec{\nabla}V)^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

containing only "even" operators. After these two unitary transformations, the Dirac equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} i\,\gamma^0\frac{\partial\Psi(t,\vec{r}\,)}{\partial t} &= \Big\{mV - \frac{1}{4m}\left(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{4m}\left(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\right)\cdot(\vec{\Sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,) - \frac{V}{2mW^2}\,\Delta - \frac{1}{4mW}\left(\Delta\,\frac{V}{W}\right)\\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2mW}\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4mVW}\,(\vec{\nabla}\,V)\cdot\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right) - \frac{1}{8mV}\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{8mW^3}\,(\vec{\nabla}W)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V)\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4mW^2}\,(\vec{\nabla}V)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{8mW^2}\,(\Delta V) - \frac{1}{8mVW^2}\,(\vec{\nabla}V)^2\Big\}\,\Psi(t,\vec{r}\,)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with the four-component spinor $\Psi(t,\vec{r}\,)$. As was shown by Foldy and Wouthuysen [19], in the low-energy approximation of the Dirac equation the "large" and "small" components of the Dirac bispinor wavefunctions can be separated by the projection operators $(1+\gamma^0)/2$ and $(1-\gamma^0)/2$, respectively. Next, we introduce the two-component spinor $\varphi(t,\vec{r}\,)$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix}\varphi(t,\vec{r}\,)\\ 0\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1+\gamma^0}{2}\,e^{\,imt}\,\Psi(t,\vec{r}\,)\,, \tag{17}$$

where the phase $e^{\,imt}$ removes the highly oscillating mode of the two-component spinor. Multiplying Eq. (16) with the projection operator $(1+\gamma^0)/2$, we obtain the Pauli equation

$$i\,\frac{\partial\varphi(t,\vec{r}\,)}{\partial t} = \Big(-\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta + \Phi(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,)\Big)\,\varphi(t,\vec{r}\,)\,, \tag{18}$$

with the potential $\Phi(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,) &= m(V-1) - \frac{1}{4m}\left(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{4m}\left(\vec{\nabla}\frac{V}{W^2}\right)\cdot(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,) + \frac{W^2-V}{2mW^2}\,\Delta - \frac{1}{4mW}\left(\Delta\,\frac{V}{W}\right)\\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2mW}\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4mVW}\,(\vec{\nabla}\,V)\cdot\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right) - \frac{1}{8mV}\left(\vec{\nabla}\,\frac{V}{W}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{8mW^3}\,(\vec{\nabla}W)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}V)\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4mW^2}\,(\vec{\nabla}V)\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{8mW^2}\,(\Delta V) - \frac{1}{8mVW^2}\,(\vec{\nabla}V)^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

This is the most general effective low-energy gravitational potential of slow fermions, induced by a static spacetime metric $ds^2 = V^2dt^2 - W^2(d\vec{r}\,)^2$, which has ever been calculated previously in the literature. The effective gravitational potential Eq. (19) can be used to any order of perturbation theory with respect to the potential $U(\vec{r}\,)$.

For the derivation of the effective gravitational potential in a weak gravitational and chameleon field and comparison to other results, obtained in the literature, we use $V^2 = 1+2U(\vec{r}\,)$ and $W^2 = 1-2\gamma\,U(\vec{r}\,)$ and expand the potential Eq. (19) to linear order in $U(\vec{r}\,)$. This gives

$$\Phi(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,) = m\,U(\vec{r}\,) - \frac{1+2\gamma}{2m}\left(U(\vec{r}\,)\,\Delta + (\vec{\nabla}U(\vec{r}\,))\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4}\,(\Delta U(\vec{r}\,)) - \frac{i}{2}\,(\vec{\nabla}U(\vec{r}\,))\cdot(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,)\right). \tag{20}$$

Using the Newtonian gravitational potential $U(\vec{r}) = -G_N M/r$, where G_N and M are the gravitational coupling constant and mass, respectively, we arrive at the effective gravitational potential

$$\Phi(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,) = -G_N\frac{Mm}{r} + (1+2\gamma)\,\frac{G_N M}{2m}\left(\frac{1}{r}\,\Delta - \frac{\vec{r}}{r^3}\cdot\vec{\nabla} - \pi\,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{r}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\vec{L}}}{r^3}\right), \tag{21}$$

where $\hat{\vec{L}} = \vec{r}\times\hat{\vec{p}}$ is the orbital momentum operator and $\Delta(1/r) = -4\pi\,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{r})$. This result agrees with the effective gravitational potential obtained by Fischbach, Freeman and Cheng [17] and recently by Jentschura and Noble [20, 21].

Using the potential $U(\vec{r}\,)$ given by Eq. (1), we obtain the potentials $\Phi_{\rm G}(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,)$ and $\Phi_{\rm Ch}(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,)$, caused by gravity and a chameleon field, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\rm G}(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,) &= \vec{g}\cdot\left(m\,\vec{r} - \frac{1+2\gamma}{2m}\left[\vec{r}\,\Delta + \vec{\nabla} - \frac{i}{2}\,(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,)\right]\right),\\
\Phi_{\rm Ch}(\vec{r},\vec{\nabla},\vec{\sigma}\,) &= \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}}\left(m\,\phi(\vec{r}\,) - \frac{1+2\gamma}{2m}\left[\phi(\vec{r}\,)\,\Delta + (\vec{\nabla}\phi(\vec{r}\,))\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4}\,(\Delta\phi(\vec{r}\,)) - \frac{i}{2}\,(\vec{\nabla}\phi(\vec{r}\,))\cdot(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,)\right]\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

These effective gravitational and chameleon field potentials should be employed for the experimental analysis of the fine structure of quantum gravitational states of ultracold neutrons in qBounce experiments and properties of a chameleon field [8, 22–25].

For the subsequent analysis it is convenient to represent the obtained results in the following form

$$\hat{\rm H} = \hat{\rm H}_0 + \hat{V}_{\rm G} + \hat{V}_{\rm Ch} + \hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}}\,, \tag{23}$$

where the operators $\hat{\rm H}_0$, $\hat{V}_{\rm G}$, $\hat{V}_{\rm Ch}$ and $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rm H}_0 &= -\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta + m\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r},\\
\hat{V}_{\rm G} &= -\frac{1+2\gamma}{2m}\,\vec{g}\cdot(\vec{r}\,\Delta + \vec{\nabla}\,),\\
\hat{V}_{\rm Ch} &= \beta\,\frac{m}{M_{\rm Pl}}\,\phi(\vec{r}\,) - \beta\,\frac{1+2\gamma}{2mM_{\rm Pl}}\left(\phi(\vec{r}\,)\,\Delta + (\vec{\nabla}\phi(\vec{r}\,))\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4}\,(\Delta\phi(\vec{r}\,))\right),\\
\hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}} &= i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\left(\vec{g} + \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}}\,\vec{\nabla}\phi(\vec{r}\,)\right)\cdot(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

In this case the operators $\hat{V}_{\rm G}$, $\hat{V}_{\rm Ch}$ and $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}}$ are determined on the class of wavefunctions $\varphi_n(\vec{r}\,)$, which are eigenfunctions of the operator ${\rm H}_0$, i.e.

$$\hat{\rm H}_0\varphi_n(\vec{r}\,) = E_n\varphi_n(\vec{r}\,), \tag{25}$$

where E_n are the binding energies of ultracold neutrons and $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ is the principle quantum number.

III. TORSION–MATTER LIKE INTERACTION

In this section we show that the effective potential $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}}$ describes an effective torsion–neutron interaction. This can be inferred from the comparison to the results obtained by Kostelecky *et al.* [26]. For this aim we rewrite $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}}$ in the relativistic covariant form

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(x) = \frac{i}{2}\,g_{\mathcal{T}}\,\mathcal{T}_\mu(x)\bar{\psi}(x)\,\sigma^{\mu\nu}\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\nu}\psi(x)\,, \tag{26}$$

where $\psi(x)$ is the neutron field operator and $A(x)\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\nu}B(x) = A(x)\partial_\nu B(x) - (\partial_\nu A(x))B(x)$ and $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu)$ is one of the Dirac matrices [16]. From comparison of Eq. (26) to Eq. (2) in Ref. [26] we obtain

$$g_{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{T}_\mu(x) = \xi^{(5)}_6\,T_\mu(x) + \xi^{(5)}_7\,A_\mu(x)\,, \tag{27}$$

where $\xi^{(5)}_6$ and $\xi^{(5)}_7$ are phenomenological coupling constants, introduced by Kostelecky *et al.* [26] for the description of a torsion–Dirac–fermion field interaction. The fields $T_\mu(x)$ and $A_\mu(x)$ are related to the torsion tensor field $T^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}(x) = -T^\alpha{}_{\nu\mu}(x)$ as $T_\mu(x) = g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta\mu}(x)$ and $A_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}T_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x)$, respectively, where $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}$ are the

inverse metric tensor and Levi–Civita tensor in Minkowski spacetime. The part of the effective Lagrangian Eq. (26), which can be expressed in terms of the potential $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}}$ in the non–relativistic limit, is

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(x) = i\,g_{\mathcal{T}}\vec{\mathcal{T}}\cdot\bar{\psi}(x)(\vec{\Sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,)\psi(x) + \ldots = i\,g_{\mathcal{T}}\vec{\mathcal{T}}\cdot\varphi^{\dagger}(x)(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,)\varphi(x) + \ldots\,, \tag{28}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is the operator of the large component of the Dirac bispinor field operator $\psi(x)$. From Eq. (28) and Eq. (24) for $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{T}}$ we obtain

$$g_{\mathcal{T}}\vec{\mathcal{T}}(x) = -\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\Big(\vec{g} + \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}}\,\vec{\nabla}\phi(\vec{r})\Big)\,. \tag{29}$$

According to Colladay and Kostelecky, the constant part of a torsion field should be removed by the redefinition of the wavefunction of a fermion field given in Eq. (30) in Ref. [27]. Contrary to that, the part of a torsion field depending on spacetime coordinates cannot be removed in general [28]. In our approach a spacetime dependent part is given by the contribution of a chameleon field.

In the following we analyse a redefinition of the wavefunction of the matter–field of ultracold neutrons moving in a spatial region restricted in the z–direction by either a mirror from below or confined by two mirrors while unrestricted in the (x, y) plane. In our approach, a redefinition of the wavefunctions of ultracold neutrons corresponds to a rearrangement of the terms in the Hamilton operator $\hat{\rm H}$, given in Eq. (24):

$$\hat{\rm H} = \hat{\rm H}'_0 + \hat{V}_{\rm G} + \hat{V}_{\rm Ch} + \hat{V}'_{\mathcal{T}}\,, \tag{30}$$

where we have denoted

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rm H}'_0 &= -\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta + m\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r} + i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\,\vec{g}\cdot(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,)\,,\\
\hat{V}'_{\mathcal{T}} &= i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}}\,\vec{\nabla}\phi(\vec{r})\cdot(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\,)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Thus, we propose to determine the operators $\hat{V}_{\rm G}$, $\hat{V}_{\rm Ch}$ and $\hat{V}'_{\mathcal{T}}$ on the class of wavefunctions $\varphi'(\vec{r})$, which are eigenfunctions of the Hamilton operator $\hat{\rm H}'_0$

$$i\frac{\partial\varphi'(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = \hat{\rm H}'_0\varphi'(\vec{r},t)\,. \tag{32}$$

The removal of the constant part of the torsion field can be carried out by employing a unitary transformation. For this aim we analyse the Pauli equation Eq. (32) in the following form

$$i\frac{\partial\varphi'(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = \Big(-\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta + m\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r} + i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\,(\vec{g}\times\vec{\sigma}\,)\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Big)\varphi'(\vec{r},t)\,, \tag{33}$$

where we have used the Hamilton operator $\hat{\rm H}'_0$, given in Eq. (31). Performing a unitary transformation

$$\varphi'(\vec{r},t) = \Omega\,\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)\,, \tag{34}$$

we transcribe the Pauli equation Eq. (33) into the form

$$\begin{aligned}
i\frac{\partial\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} &= \Big(-\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta + m\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r} - \frac{1}{2m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\Delta\Omega) + i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\vec{g}\times\vec{\sigma}\,)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}\Omega)\Big)\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)\\
&+ \Big(-\frac{1}{m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\vec{\nabla}\Omega) + i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\vec{g}\times\vec{\sigma}\,)\,\Omega\Big)\cdot\vec{\nabla}\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

For the removal of the required constant part of the torsion field we set

$$\vec{\nabla}\Omega = i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4}\,(\vec{g}\times\vec{\sigma}\,)\,\Omega\,, \tag{36}$$

which reduces Eq. (35) to

$$i\frac{\partial\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = \Big(-\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta + m\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r} - \frac{1}{2m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\Delta\Omega) + i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\vec{g}\times\vec{\sigma}\,)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}\Omega)\Big)\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)\,. \tag{37}$$

Using Eq. (36) we transcribe the last two terms on the right–hand side (r.h.s.) of Eq. (37) as

$$-\frac{1}{2m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\Delta\Omega)+i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4m}\,\Omega^{-1}(\vec{g}\times\vec{\sigma}\,)\cdot(\vec{\nabla}\Omega)=-g^2\,\frac{(1+2\gamma)^2}{16m}\,. \tag{38}$$

Hence, the wavefunction $\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}=\Big(-\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta+m\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r}-g^2\,\frac{(1+2\gamma)^2}{16m}\Big)\,\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)\,. \tag{39}$$

For stationary quantum states $\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r},t)=\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r}\,)\,e^{\,-iE_nt}$ we obtain

$$\tilde{E}_n\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r}\,)=\Big(-\frac{1}{2m}\,\Delta+m\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r}\Big)\,\tilde{\varphi}(\vec{r}\,)\,. \tag{40}$$

where $\tilde{E}_n=E_n+g^2\,(1+2\gamma)^2/16m$. Such a constant shift of energy levels does not appear in the transition frequencies $\omega_{nk}=\tilde{E}_n-\tilde{E}_k=E_n-E_k$, which are experimentally observed [8, 22–25]. Hence, we have shown that the constant part of the torsion can be removed from the interaction to any order in perturbation theory. To linear order the solution of Eq. (36) is equal to

$$\Omega=1+i\frac{1+2\gamma}{4}\,(\vec{g}\times\vec{\sigma}\,)\cdot\vec{r}\,. \tag{41}$$

This agrees with the transformations analysed by Kostelecky [26–28].

IV. ANALYSIS OF OBSERVABILITY OF CONSTANT PART OF TORSION FIELD

As has been pointed out by Kostelecky [26–28] the constant parts of the torsion fields, removed by a redefinition of the wavefunctions of fermions, should not be observable to first order perturbation theory. In this section we analyse the problem of observability of the constant part of the torsion field $g_{\mathcal{T}}\vec{\mathcal{T}}$, which we removed from the potential operator $\hat{V}_{\rm T}$ by a redefinition of the wavefunctions of ultracold neutrons $\varphi'_n(\vec{r}\,)=\Omega\,\tilde{\varphi}_n(\vec{r}\,)$. For this aim we calculate the contributions of the effective gravitational potential $\hat{V}_{\rm G}$ to the energy levels of quantum gravitational states of ultracold neutrons. To first in order perturbation theory the observables are diagonal matrix elements of the potential operator $\hat{V}_{\rm G}$, i.e. $\langle n|\hat{V}_{\rm G}|n\rangle$ given by (see [25])

$$\langle n|\hat{V}_{\rm G}|n\rangle=\frac{\displaystyle\int d^3x\,\varphi'^{\dagger}_n(\vec{r}\,)\hat{V}_{\rm G}\varphi'_n(\vec{r}\,)}{\displaystyle\int d^3x\,\varphi'^{\dagger}_n(\vec{r}\,)\varphi'_n(\vec{r}\,)}=\frac{\displaystyle\int d^3x\,\tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_n(\vec{r}\,)\Omega^{-1}\hat{V}_{\rm G}\Omega\tilde{\varphi}_n(\vec{r}\,)}{\displaystyle\int d^3x\,\tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_n(\vec{r}\,)\tilde{\varphi}_n(\vec{r}\,)}\,. \tag{42}$$

Setting $\varphi'_n(\vec{r}\,)=\Omega\,\tilde{\varphi}_n(z)$ and $\vec{g}=g\,\vec{e}_z$, using Eq. (40) and skipping standard intermediate calculations we arrive at the result

$$\langle n|\hat{V}_{\rm G}|n\rangle=(1+2\gamma)\,E_ng\int dz\,z\,|\tilde{\varphi}_n(z)|^2-(1+2\gamma)\,mg^2\int dz\,z^2\,|\tilde{\varphi}_n(z)|^2+(1+2\gamma)^3\frac{g^3}{8m}\int dz\,z\,|\tilde{\varphi}_n(z)|^2\,, \tag{43}$$

where the integration over z should be carried out in accord to the experimental setup of the qBounce experiments [8, 22–25].

The obtained result shows that the contributions of the constant part of the torsion field to the energy levels and, correspondingly, to the transition frequencies [25] are of third order in g. This confirms Kostelecky's assertion [26–28] that the constant part of the torsion field is unobservable to first order in perturbation theory.

V. CONCLUSION

We have analysed the non–relativistic approximation of the Dirac equation for (ultra)cold neutrons, propagating in a spacetime with static metric $ds^2=g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu=V^2dt^2-W^2(d\vec{r}\,)^2$. The components of the static metric, $g_{00}(\vec{r}\,)=V^2$ and $g_{ij}(\vec{r}\,)=-W^2\,\delta_{ij}$, are functionals of the potential $U(\vec{r}\,)=\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r}+(\beta/M_{\rm Pl})\,\phi(\vec{r}\,)$, where $\vec{g}\cdot\vec{r}$ and $(\beta/M_{\rm Pl})\,\phi(\vec{r}\,)$ are the contributions of the gravitational field of the Earth and a chameleon field, respectively.

We have carried out the non–relativistic reduction of the Dirac equation rigorously by employing a standard Foldy–Wouthuysen (SFW) transformation neglecting terms of order $\mathcal{O}(1/m^2)$. We have found the effective potential as a functional of the metric components V and W in Eq. (19). For $V^2 = 1 + 2U(\vec{r})$ and $W^2 = 1 - 2\gamma\, U(\vec{r})$, we have derived the effective gravitational potential in Eq. (20) to linear order in $U(\vec{r})$. In addition to the gravitational field, our expression contains the contribution of a chameleon field.

After the redefinition of the wavefunctions of fermions we arrive at the effective potential

$$\hat{V}_{\rm eff} = \hat{V}_{\rm G} + \vec{V}_{\rm Ch} + \hat{V}'_{\mathcal{T}}\,, \tag{44}$$

where the last term corresponds to a torsion–matter interaction [26–31] with the torsion field $g_{\mathcal{T}}\vec{\mathcal{T}} = -((1 + 2\gamma)/4m)(\beta/M_{\rm Pl})\vec{\nabla}\phi(\vec{r})$. The obtained result shows that in principle a chameleon field serves as a source for a torsion field interaction with matter fields. The possibility for a torsion field interaction to be induced by a scalar field was discussed by Hojman *et al.* [32] (see also [31]). Following Kostelecky [26–28] we have removed the constant part of the torsion field by a redefinition of the wavefunctions of fermions. Furthermore, we have shown that such a change of the wavefunctions of ultracold neutrons in the qBounce experiments does not lead to any observable contributions to first order in perturbation theory. A more detailed investigation of the subtleties involved in the derivation of the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation for slow fermions in a gravitational field as well as in a chameleon field as a possible source for a torsion field interaction we are planning to perform in our forthcoming publication.

Finally, we would like to note that the first two terms of the effective potential Eq. (20), namely $m\,U(\vec{r}) - ((1 + 2\gamma)/2m)\,U(\vec{r})\,\Delta$, reproduce the effective non–relativistic Hamilton operator $H^{(0,1)}_{\rm NR}$ of spin–independent low–energy interactions of Dirac fermions moving in a curved spacetime with an asymptotically flat and diagonal metric tensor (see Eq. (62) of Ref. [33]). The absence of derivative terms of the metric tensor in the Hamilton operator $H^{(0,1)}_{\rm NR}$ is justified in [33] by the smallness of spacetime variations of the metric tensor with respect to its deviation from the Minkowski spacetime metric tensor.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Hartmut Abele and Philippe Brax for their interest in our work and discussions, to Ulrich Jentschura for discussions of properties of Foldy–Wouthuysen transformations and to Alan Kostelecky for fruitful discussions concerning properties of a torsion field and its interaction with matter.

This work was supported by the Austrian "Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung" (FWF) under the contracts I862-N20 (AI) and I689-N16 (MP).

[1] R. L. Gibbs, Am. J. Phys. **43**, 25 (1975).
[2] H. Wallis, J. Dalibard, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Appl. Phys. B **54**, 407 (1992).
[3] J. Gea-Banacloche, Am. J. Phys. **67**, 776 (1999).
[4] V. V. Nesvizhevsky, H. G. Börner, A. K. Petukhov, H. Abele, S. Baeßler, F. J. Rueß, Th. Stöferle, A. Westphal, A. M. Gagarski, G. A. Petrov, and A. V. Strelkov, Nature **415**, 297 (2002).
[5] V. Nesvizhevsky, H. G. Börner, A. M. Gagarski, A. K. Petukhov, G. A. Petrov, H. Abele, S. Baeßler, G. Divkovic, F. J. Rueß, Th. Stöferle, A. Westphal, A. V. Strelkov, K. V. Protasov, and A. Yu. Voronin, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 102002 (2003), hep-ph/0306198.
[6] V. Nesvizhevsky, A. K. Petukhov, H. G. Börner, T. A. Baranova, A. M. Gagarski, G. A. Petrov, K. V. Protasov, A. Yu. Voronin, S. Baeßler, H. Abele, A. Westphal, and L. Lucovac, Eur. Phys. J. C **40**, 479 (2005).
[7] A. Westphal, H. Abele, S. Baeßler, V. V. Nesvizhevsky, A. K. Petukhov, K. V. Protasov, and A. Yu. Voronin, Eur. Phys. J. C **51**, 367 (2007).
[8] H. Abele, T. Jenke, H. Leeb, and J. Schmiedmayer, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065019 (2010).
[9] J. Khoury and A. Weltman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 171104 (2004).
[10] D. F. Mota and D. J. Shaw, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 063501 (2007).
[11] D. F. Mota and D. J. Shaw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 151102 (2006).
[12] T. Waterhouse, arXiv: astro-ph/0611816 (2006).
[13] P. Brax and G. Pignol, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 111301 (2011).
[14] A. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, T. Jenke, M. Wellenzohn, and H. Abele, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 105013 (2013).
[15] J. Beringer et al., Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012).
[16] C. Itzykson and J. Zuber, in *Quantum Field Theory*, McGraw–Hill, New York 1980.
[17] E. Fischbach, B. S. Freeman, and W.-K. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2157 (1981).
[18] Y. N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 192 (2001).

[19] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, Phys. Rev. **78**, 29 (1950).
[20] U. Jentschura and J. Noble, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 022121 (2013).
[21] U. Jentschura and J. Noble, J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **47**, 045402 (2014).
[22] H. Abele, T. Jenke, D. Stadler, and P. Geltenbort, Nucl. Phys. A **827**, 593c (2009).
[23] T. Jenke, D. Stadler, H. Abele, and P. Geltenbort, Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A **611**, 318 (2009).
[24] H. Abele and H. Leeb, New J. Phys.**14**, 055010 (2012).
[25] T. Jenke, G. Cronenberg, J. Bürgdorfer, L. A. Chizhova, P. Geltenbort, A. N. Ivanov, T. Lauer, T. Lins, S. Rotter, H. Saul, U. Schmidt, and H. Abele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 115105 (2014).
[26] V. A. Kostelecky, N. Russell, and J. D. Tasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 111102 (2008).
[27] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998).
[28] V. A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).
[29] F. W. Hehl, P. Von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48**, 393 (1976).
[30] I. L. Shapiro, Phys. Rep. **357**, 113 (2002).
[31] R. T. Hammond, Rep. Progr. Phys. **65**, 599 (2002).
[32] S. Hojman, M. Rosenbaum, M. Ryan, and L. Shepley, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 3141 (1978).
[33] V. A. Kostelecky and J. D. Tasson, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 016013 (2011).